

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/815,441	Applicant(s) EATOUGH ET AL.	
	Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi	Art Unit 2138	

All Participants:

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Wesley Austin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: 10:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

1-25

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner mentioned that amended claims 10-12, 14-15, 19-25 describe different invention as compared to claims 1, 16-18. The applicant's representative Wesley Austin agreed with the examiner and cancelled claims 10-12, 14-15, 19-25. The claims 2-9 and 13 were already cancelled in the amendment filed on 6/19/2006.